

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/754,341	01/05/2001	Junji Miyata	Q62470	3667	
7590 10/08/2003			EXAMINER		
	ION, ZINN, MACP	DEXTER, CLARK F			
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3724		
			DATE MAILED: 10/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/754,341**

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

Clark F. Dexter

3724

Miyata et al.

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
	or Reply						
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication.							
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	,						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 17, 20	003		·			
) 2a) 🙀	This action is FINAL. 2b) This act	ion is non-final.		···			
3) 🗍	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>3-8</u>			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) 1, 2, and 9-11			1			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)						
8) 🗆	Claims						
Application Papers							
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepted	or b)[objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)💢	The proposed drawing correction filed on	•		-			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:							
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received	l in App	lication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_		0-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
J ∐ Inf	officion disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/754,341 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed April 3, 2003 has been entered. It is noted that in view of the amendment practice under 37 CFR 1.121 which became effective for all amendments on ———— March 1, 2001, and due to the limited amount of examining time per application, if the amendment contains changes to existing language that requires a marked-up version showing those changes, the Examiner is relying upon the marked-up version(s) for examination of the application. It is applicant's responsibility to ensure that the clean version(s) is (are) the same as the marked-up version(s). It is further noted that the clean version(s) is (are) considered to be the Official version(s). Additionally, this amendment does not include bracketing to show deletions. However, it is being treated as an amendment under the version of 37 CFR 1.121 which became effective for all amendments on March 1, 2001 because it includes both clean and marked-up versions of the amended claims and specification paragraphs.

Drawings

2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on April 3, 2003 and July 17, 2003 have been **approved**. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

i),

Application/Control Number: 09/754,341

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1, 2 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diolot, pn 3,524,374, in view of Keim, pn 3,975,132.

Diolot discloses a device with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention but lacks both blades being movable and driven, and further lacks both blades sharing the same guide means. However, the Examiner takes Official notice that such blade support/drive configuration is old and well known in the and provides various well known benefits including simple and efficient construction and operation. Keim discloses one example of an analogous press configuration disclosing a shared support/drive configuration. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide such a blade support/drive

Application/Control Number: 09/754,341

Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

configuration on the device of Diolot for the well known benefits including those described above.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404. The examiner's typical work schedule is Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and he can be reached during normal business hours on these days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Allan Shoap, can be reached at (703)308-1082.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers Technology Center 3700 are: after-final responses - (703)872-9303; other formal/official papers - (703)872-9302. The fax number for informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd

October 6, 2003